

**MAYORS AND COUNCIL MEMBERS' ASSOCIATION OF SONOMA COUNTY
LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE**

Cloverdale, Cotati, Healdsburg, Petaluma, Rohnert Park, Santa Rosa, Sebastopol, Sonoma, Windsor

Finley Community Center
Manzanita Room
2060 West College Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95401
Friday July 17, 2026
9:00 AM

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE AGENDA

1. Call to Order

2. Roll Call

Cloverdale		Petaluma		Sebastopol	
Cotati		Rohnert Park		Sonoma	
Healdsburg		Santa Rosa		Windsor	

3. Public Comment

If members of the public would like to provide public comment, we encourage you to submit them via email to Lauren Berges, by 8:00 a.m. on the day of the meeting: lberges@sonomacountylocalleaders.org

4. Business

- A. Legislative Update: Review of Current Assembly and Senate Bills
- B. City and Legislative Representative Updates

5. Adjournment to Next Meeting: August 21, 2026

/s/ Lauren Berges, Executive Director

Copies of all staff reports and documents subject to disclosure that relate to any item of business referred to on the agenda are available for public review the Tuesday prior to the regularly scheduled meeting on the Sonoma County Mayors' and Councilmembers' website: <https://sonomacountylocalleaders.org/> or by email upon request at lberges@sonomacountylocalleaders.org. Any documents subject to disclosure that are provided to all, or a majority of all, of the members of the Association regarding any item on this agenda, after the agenda has been distributed, will be made available for review. In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Santa Rosa City Clerk's Office at 707-543-3015. Notification 72-hours prior to the meeting will enable the Association to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

Legislative Update: Review of Current Assembly and Senate Bills

AB 1383 (McKinnor) Public employees' retirement benefits.

Would change many of the reforms put in place in 2013 by Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA).

Specifically, this bill would:

- Increase the pensionable compensation cap;
- Reduce the retirement age for public safety from 57 to 55 prospectively;
- Add a 4th safety tier that is 3% @ 55, prospective and subject to bargaining;
- Allow local agencies to adjust their local formula in a prospective manner; and
- Permit authorized employee representatives to bargain with the employer over the employee share of payment for the normal cost.

Amended May 13:

Cal Cities Oppose

Senate Labor Committee

Timely

article: https://linkprotect.cudasvc.com/url?a=https%3a%2f%2fcalmatters.bluelena.io%2flt.php%3fx%3d4lZy~GDEU6XKEpWu0NHLUOBw2XzRvgLxv~g4kaQ3V6HPEpGrzUy7xeZv23AiiUA0_ueglPPKIFSh95F5yNMYUuG-136nj_bv&c=E,1,JJxo1wcHY6OVC5derr7ZKS9oMN0PBjCYts6hyQ0eOhHK3CMwy8aZDb95p8UmqiXzDQWaGqVBoH95RMtasGurhgEU7CCSjgAj4hOdfFH2hw.,&typo=1

AB 2134 (Addis) City council members: absences without permission. (Amended - 3/16/2026)

Existing law provides that if a city council member is absent without permission from all regular city council meetings for a specified number of days from the last regular meeting they attended, their office becomes vacant and shall be filled as any other vacancy. This bill would require a city to establish a process for a city council member to notify the city clerk or other designated official in writing that they are taking parental leave, as defined, for 12 workweeks or longer if the city council member's city provides a parental leave period longer than 12 workweeks for their employees. The bill would exclude parental leave from counting toward the number of allowed absences allotted to each council member. The bill would prohibit the process from requiring a council member to make the declaration at a public hearing.

Cal Cities Support

7/2/2026 A - CONCURRENCE

AB 2296 (Papan D) Planning and zoning: housing element: regional housing needs allocation.

Would allow local governments to begin the housing element update process six months early, provide greater certainty and reduce ambiguity in the housing element review

process, and implement several of the recommendations provided by the California State Auditor's Office to improve the housing element review process.

Cal Cities Support/Sponsor

6/25/2026 S - APPR.

AB 1821 (Pacheco) California Public Records Act: agency response time. (Amended - 4/6/2026)

The California Public Records Act requires each state or local agency, upon a request for a copy of records that reasonably describes an identifiable record or records, to make the records promptly available to any person upon payment of fees covering direct costs of duplication, or a statutory fee if applicable, except with respect to public records exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law. Existing law requires each agency, within 10 days of a request for a copy of records, to determine whether the request seeks copies of disclosable public records in possession of the agency and to promptly notify the person of the determination and the reasons therefor. Existing law authorizes that time limit to be extended by no more than 14 days under unusual circumstances, as defined. This bill would instead require each agency to determine whether the request seeks copies of disclosable public records in possession of the agency and to promptly notify the person as described above within 10 business days of a request for a copy of records.

Cal Cities Support/Sponsor

7/1/2026 S - APPR.

New:

[SB 1013 \(Cervantes\)](#) would limit the technology's use to locating vehicles or people reasonably suspected of being involved in a public offense or a missing persons case. Local agencies could store the data for 30 days. After that, they would need to delete the information unless it is part of an active investigation or criminal proceeding or matches information on an authorized HOT list (Amber Alerts, Silver Alerts, missing persons, and felonies).

Cal Cities is opposed to SB 1013 unless it is amended to address the data retention limit, as many criminal cases are not reported within the 30-day timeframe.

[AB 1934 \(Bennett\)](#), which requires the Office of the State Fire Marshall (OSFA) to establish a tiered certification program on home hardening. Homeowners could obtain discounts from insurance companies based on their certification levels. OSFA has already created a working group to create this type of program.

Cal Cities Supports

[SB 1301 \(Allen\)](#), which requires insurers to provide a nonrenewal notice three months in advance and detail the specific reasons why the nonrenewal notice was issued.

Cal Cities Supports

[SB 1187 \(Durazo\)](#) modifies several of SB 707's language-access requirements applicable to eligible legislative bodies. According to the author, the bill is an attempt to respond to implementation concerns raised by local agencies and other stakeholders. The language-access requirements impose significant administrative and fiscal burdens on local agencies, without any state funding to offset those expenses.

Cal Cities Supports

[SB 1117 \(Cervantes\)](#). The bill dramatically limits the amount of impact fees cities can collect for accessory dwelling units (ADUs) larger than 750 square feet. For example, if a project applicant proposes a 1,000-square-foot unit, cities could only charge impact fees for 250 square feet. This example would result in a 75% reduction in impact fees that cities must legally use to provide essential infrastructure and public services to support new housing development.

Cal Cities Oppose

[AB 1751 \(Quirk-Silva\)](#) requires cities to automatically approve townhome development projects in multifamily residential zones and underutilized single-family zones that are less than three stories tall. A townhome project could qualify even if the site is planned for higher density in a city's certified housing element.

This could allow downzoning to occur and create new challenges for cities if a proposed townhome project decreases the amount of planned density in a multifamily zone. Cal Cities moved to oppose the bill after it was unable to secure changes requiring developers to follow local development standards.